



Objection 213

Robert Trafficante

1 page

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, 24 June 2024 7:49 PM
To: FedRedistribution - VIC
Subject: SAVE HIGGINS

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Dear Sir / Madam,

I strongly object to the proposed abolition of the seat of Higgins.

Why would anyone decide to repudiate and abandon this historical seat which included two previous Prime Ministers, two federal Treasurers as well as one assistant Treasurer.

The AEC appears not to have taken much consideration about the significance of this seat or has little sensitivity relating to the history of this nation.

It has looked to make this change purely due a growth of population in a different State

This may be a pragmatic decision, but the population growth in WA may only be a temporary one.

The projected 2024 population for Victoria is 6,927,000 and the forecast for 2041 is 8,860,000. Why abolish a seat with such an expected population growth.

It seems to me that the proposed abolition of the seat of Higgins is not only a short sighted move but also one lacking in vision due to the future population growth forecasts for Victoria.

Do not abolish this great seat, imbued with an amazing history. It is a mistake.

Yours truly,

Robert Trafficante

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

Email sent using Optus Webmail