



**THE FEDERAL
REDISTRIBUTION
NEW SOUTH WALES**

Objection 566

Division of North Sydney – Not in electorate

22 Representations

22 pages

Representations made by:

- John Paino
- Carla
- S Lloyd
- Geoff Houston
- Juan Palma
- Janelle Cheeseman
- Denise Zimany
- George Zimany
- Julie Barr
- J Christopher
- Shahad Abboud
- Jeff Burgess
- Bruce M
- J Echols
- Tyrese Samson
- Jacob Yap
- Margaret Houston
- Mark L (?)
- Tom Palmer
- Nicole D (?)
- Lilian Horton-Stephens
- Adrian Stage

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 8 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

It does not make sense to propose to split the high-growth area around St Leonards and Crows Nest into three separate electorates.

North Sydney is a community of interest

The North Sydney community has unique characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring electorates. Furthermore the networks, connections, sporting groups, businesses, community groups, schools and transport lines are intrinsically linked within the current North Sydney electorate. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals and is important economically given North Sydney is the third largest business centre in Australia and the significant economic corridor that runs through the electorate.

~~I am a proud member of the North Sydney community.~~ I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact, with minimal changes to accommodate changes in overall numbers of electors in NSW.



JOHN PAIRO
Name & Suburb

ROSEVILLE
5th of Boundary St
is still seat of
North Sydney.

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 9 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction.

Two examples of what has not been considered:


1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

It does not make sense to propose to split the high-growth area around St Leonards and Crows Nest into three separate electorates.

North Sydney is a community of interest

The North Sydney community has unique characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring electorates. Furthermore the networks, connections, sporting groups, businesses, community groups, schools and transport lines are intrinsically linked within the current North Sydney electorate. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals and is important economically given North Sydney is the third largest business centre in Australia and the significant economic corridor that runs through the electorate.

~~I am a proud member of the North Sydney community.~~ I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact, with minimal changes to accommodate changes in overall numbers of electors in NSW.



Signature

Carla Masman

Name & Suburb

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 9th July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction.

Two examples of what has not been considered:


1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

It does not make sense to propose to split the high-growth area around St Leonards and Crows Nest into three separate electorates.

North Sydney is a community of interest

The North Sydney community has unique characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring electorates. Furthermore the networks, connections, sporting groups, businesses, community groups, schools and transport lines are intrinsically linked within the current North Sydney electorate. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals and is important economically given North Sydney is the third largest business centre in Australia and the significant economic corridor that runs through the electorate.

~~I am a proud member of the North Sydney community.~~ I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact, with minimal changes to accommodate changes in overall numbers of electors in NSW.



S. LLOYD CREMORNE POINT
Name & Suburb

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.


Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.



JUAN PALMA BEVERLY HILLS
Name & Suburb

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 7th July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

~~I am a proud member of the North Sydney community.~~ I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.



Signature

Janelle Cheeseaman West Pennant
Hills

Name & Suburb

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 7 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.


Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

~~I am a proud member of the North Sydney community.~~ I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.



DENISE ZIMANY

Name & Suburb

MOVA VALE

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 7 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.


Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.



ROBERT ZIMANY
Name & Suburb

MONA VALE

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 7 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.

Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

~~I am a proud member of the North Sydney community.~~ I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.

Julie Barr Seaforth

Name & Suburb

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 6th July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.


Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.



CHRISTOPHER SUNKYBANK
Name & Suburb

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.


Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

~~I am a proud member of the North Sydney community.~~ I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.



SHAHAD ABBUD - Liverpool 2170
Name & Suburb

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.


Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.



Jeff BURGESS
Name & Suburb
Chesterham

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.


Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.



A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Bruce M." with a stylized flourish at the end.

Name & Suburb

Strathfield

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.


Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

~~I am a proud member of the North Sydney community.~~ I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.



J ECHOLS - KILLARVA
Name & Suburb

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 06 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.


Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.



Tyrese Samson, Ryde

Name & Suburb

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.


Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

~~I am a proud member of the North Sydney community.~~ I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.



JALOB YAP, RYOE

Name & Suburb

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 6 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.


Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

~~I am a proud member of the North Sydney community.~~ I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.



MARGARET HOUSTON
Name & Suburb
MOSMAN

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 7 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.


Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

~~I am a proud member of the North Sydney community.~~ I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.



Mark Lewman Rosman

Name & Suburb

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 7 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.


Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.



Tom PALMER - CAMERBURY
Name & Suburb

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 7 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.


Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

~~I am a proud member of the North Sydney community.~~ I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.



Michael Dunkley - Canterbury
Name & Suburb

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 8 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.


Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

~~I am a proud member of the North Sydney community.~~ I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.



LILIAN HORTON-STEPHENS
Name & Suburb CASTLE COVE

To: New South Wales augmented Electoral Commission

Date: 8 July 2024

RE: Objection to the AEC's proposal to abolish the federal seat of North Sydney.

Dear Sir/Madam:

North Sydney is a well-established and high functioning community.

North Sydney has been a federal seat since federation. It is telling that we were one of the first electorates to stand up for independent representation in 2022, and one of the few to vote for an Indigenous Voice to Parliament in the 2023 referendum. This was achieved because we are a tight network of community minded individuals.

North Sydney is in fact growing!

The AEC's proposal to abolish North Sydney does not consider existing and future population growth numbers inside our electorate. The AEC has failed to consult appropriate sources for future growth numbers, including state and local planning policies, the number of developments under construction and the number of developments in the pipeline for future construction. Had they done so, we would not be on the list of possible electorates to be abolished.


Two examples of what has not been considered:

1. The NSW State Government plans to see 5,900 new homes built in the North Sydney LGA alone by 2029.
2. North Sydney Council is expecting 19,500 new residents to move into the area by 2036.

Abolishment will lead to disadvantage

The repercussions of the abolishment will also compromise effective representation by an elected Federal MP, to the disadvantage of the existing members of North Sydney. North Sydney has unique socioeconomic characteristics and needs that might not be adequately addressed if its residents are absorbed into neighbouring, less cohesive electorates. Furthermore, all networks, connections, organisations, social case works, etc are intrinsically linked by being part of this community since 1901. Maintaining the seat ensures continuity in addressing long-term projects and community goals.

I am a proud member of the North Sydney community. I strongly oppose the draft proposal that the federal seat of North Sydney be abolished and request for it to remain intact.



ADRIAN STAGE ROSEVILLE

Name & Suburb