



Comment on objections 6

Connor Hickey

2 pages

I agree with Abdullah Bin al-Azziz's objection (objection 39) to the proposed boundaries of Deakin/Chisholm/Menzies. The Southern Boundary must be further south than the Monash Freeway to provide a better balance of electors. The boundary in the original proposal (Redistribution Committee's proposal) is great. The section of Forest Hill east of Springvale but south of Canterbury Road should be located in the division of Deakin. The Locality of Vermont South in its entirety should be located in the division of Chisholm. Having the locality of Vermont South in Chisholm will help to balance the loss of electors north of Canterbury Road. Also, Chisholm will keep some electors (parts of Forest Hill and the remainder of Burwood east) which are better situated in the division of Chisholm. This unites Burwood East in the division Of Chisholm. I propose that Mitcham be located in Menzies with the Canterbury Road boundary extending to Heatherdale Road. Also, just about all of Vermont would be located in Chisholm. Deakin can consist just of Maroondah City Council, Park Orchards, Warrandyte South, Warrandyte and Warrandyte North. Menzies would consist of Box Hill, Blackburn, Nunawading, Mitcham, Box Hill North, Blackburn North, Bulleen, Doncaster, Doncaster East, Templestowe & Templestowe Lower. If Mitcham needed to stay in Deakin to balance out the number of electors, the boundary would be Rooks Road, Dunlavin Road, Springfield Road, Mitcham Road and Park Road up to the Mullum Mullum Creek. The next step would be to determine how many electors in the proposed divisions and adjust the boundaries if necessary.

Hopefully, the Augmented Electoral Commission will accept Abdullah Bin al-Azziz's objection and my comments on the objection.