



Comment on objections G

R 2017/01 [\ •

Gpages

[REDACTED]

Sent: Thursday, 29 April 2021 4:32 PM
To: FedRedistribution - VIC
Subject: FW: Response to Redistribution Proposals esp. Corangamite to Tucker federal division name change. [SEC=OFFICIAL]

[REDACTED] | National Redistributions Manager
Australian Electoral Commission
T: (02) [REDACTED] X: [REDACTED] M: [REDACTED]



Make sure you're **enrolled to vote.**
Visit www.aec.gov.au

From: INFO <info@aec.gov.au>
Sent: Thursday, 29 April 2021 3:49 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Response to Redistribution Proposals esp. Corangamite to Tucker federal division name change. [SEC=OFFICIAL]

[REDACTED]

The below objection is for your response please.

Thanks

[REDACTED] **Public Engagement Team**
Parliamentary and Party Registration | Disclosure, Assurance & Engagement Branch
Australian Electoral Commission
T: [REDACTED] [REDACTED]



Make sure you're **enrolled to vote.**
Visit www.aec.gov.au

From: Judith Brooks [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, 29 April 2021 3:41 PM
To: INFO <info@aec.gov.au>
Subject: Response to Redistribution Proposals esp. Corangamite to Tucker federal division name change.

To Whom It May Concern,

I wish to object to the proposed name change of the federal Victorian electorate of Corangamite to the name of Tucker.

Although the Federal Division of Corangamite is no longer near Lake Corangamite, the people of the seat have become identified

with the name over time and understand its local significance. It has aboriginal heritage and is well recognised by locals.

It seems that the AEC is being more opportunistic than thoughtful and is imposing an aboriginal name, Tucker, from many kilometres away and having

nothing to do with the Geelong region. Corangamite belongs 'to country' Tucker does not.

Please reverse this decision

Judith Brooks

